UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

LEISURE CONCEPTS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

CALIFORNIA HOME SPAS, INC., a California corporation doing business as Covervalet; DISCOUNTER'S POOL & SPA WAREHOUSE, a Canadian corporation; and LITEHOUSE PRODUCTS, LLC., a Delaware corporation,

COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT CLAIM ~ 1

Defendants.

NO: 2:14-CV-388-RMP

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO VOLUNTARILY DISMISS COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT CLAIM

Before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion to Voluntarily Dismiss its Copyright Infringement Claim, ECF No. 69. The Court has reviewed the record and is fully informed.

Plaintiff moves to dismiss the copyright infringement claim alleged in its complaint, and is not opposed to this Court dismissing the claim with prejudice. *See* ECF No. 69 at 3. Despite Defendant's accusations that Plaintiff is acting with malicious intent, Defendant does not oppose the Motion. *See* ECF No. 70 at 2. ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO VOLUNTARILY DISMISS

Defendant joins in Plaintiff's request for a voluntary dismissal with prejudice but goes beyond responding to the Motion to argue that it should now be granted attorney's fees as the "prevailing party." *Id.* at 3-4. In response to Defendant's request for leave to file a motion for attorney's fees, the Court grants Defendant leave to file a motion for attorney's fees if Defendant has additional support for such an award. However, in light of the fact that Defendant states its concerns over incurring costs defending this suit, this Court references the applicable law here to save Defendant from feeling to need to file motions that are likely futile.

Regarding costs and attorney's fees, the Copyright Act provides:

In any civil action under this title, the court in its discretion may allow the recovery of full costs by or against any party other than the United States or an officer thereof. Except as otherwise provided by this title, the court may also award a reasonable attorney's fee to the prevailing party as part of the costs.

17 U.S.C. § 505. The Court's determination of fees under the Copyright Act is only reviewed for an abuse of discretion. *See Cadkin v. Loose*, 569 F.3d 1142, 1146-47 (9th Cir. 2009). The Ninth Circuit has determined that "a "prevailing party" is one who has been awarded some relief by the court.' The key inquiry is whether some court action has created a 'material alteration of the legal relationship of the parties." *Cadkin v. Loose*, 569 F.3d 1142, 1148 (9th Cir. 2009) (quoting *Buckhannon Bd. & Care Home, Inc. v. W. Va. Dep't of Health & Human Res.*, 532 U.S. 598, 603-604 (2001)).

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO VOLUNTARILY DISMISS COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT CLAIM ~ 2

Plaintiff voluntarily dismissed its copyright claim allegedly to focus on its patent infringement claim due to the insubstantial amount of damages that would result if they prevailed on the copyright claim. *See* ECF No. 77 at 4. Nonetheless, Plaintiff argues that its claim was viable and intimately tied to its remaining claim for patent infringement. *Id* at 4, 10. Defendant has not presented any evidence to the contrary.

Defendant still must defend against allegations of patent infringement that are associated with the claim that now has been voluntarily dismissed. Therefore, the legal relationship of the parties has not been materially altered. The issues simply are narrowed as this case progresses.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. Plaintiff's Motion to Voluntarily Dismiss its Copyright Infringement Claim, **ECF No. 69**, is **GRANTED**.
- 2. Plaintiff's claim of copyright infringement related to its instruction manual, Count 2, is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

The District Court Clerk is directed to enter this Order and provide copies to counsel.

DATED this 12th day of February 2016.

s/Rosanna Malouf Peterson

ROSANNA MALOUF PETERSON

United States District Judge

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO VOLUNTARILY DISMISS COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT CLAIM ~ 3